1. Name of Presenter – SAJEEWA – CO2 Emissions

Score (1 - 10) – 7

Comments/Feedback – Well presented and good pace. Could have prettied up a bit more

1. Name of Presenter – SAM - Honey bees and Neonics

Score (1 - 10) – 9

Comments/Feedback - Excellent presentation. Told story well with illustrations and charts. Very interesting.

1. Name of Presenter – ANTHONY – Car Price Prediction

Score (1 - 10) – 7

Comments/Feedback – Good use of pictures on slides. Pace of presentation good. Maybe a bit short?

1. Name of Presenter – RICKY – Sydney Weather

Score (1 - 10) – 8

Comments/Feedback – Well presented in attractive slides. Clear process outlined. Not sure if way hypothesis state if too much data language and not easy for business stakeholders to understand,

1. Name of Presenter – FRASER - Bunq Bank

Score (1 - 10) – 8

Comments/Feedback – Good presentation. Interesting with clear process with attractive slides.

1. Name of Presenter – LEN - Shoppee

Score (1 - 10) – **8**

Comments/Feedback – Well presented with clear outline of process and data used to come to conclusions.

1. Name of Presenter – CIARAN - Forest Fire Findings

Score (1 - 10) – 9

Comments/Feedback –– Excellent presentation. Told story well with attractive illustrations and charts.

1. Name of Presenter – OVAIS - Stock Price Prediction

Score (1 - 10) –.6

Comments/Feedback – Very long, I also found was too much text and not enough pictures or charts. Also didn’t like the dark backgound.

1. Name of Presenter – BRIAN

Score (1 - 10) – 7

Comments/Feedback – Impressive amount of work done to scrape data! Interesting concept and resulting predictor test. Presentation could have been prettied up a bit more.

1. Name of Presenter – NARIMAN - Strength of Concrete

Score (1 - 10) – 7

Comments/Feedback – Good presentation. A bit technical with data. Slides could be made a bit more attractive with maybe colour backgrounds.

1. Name of Presenter – PERRINE – Super Pacman

Score (1 - 10) – 7

Comments/Feedback – Good presentation overall, though a little long. Found a bit too much text on the slides. Would have been better to tell story with more diagrams and pictures.

1. Name of Presenter – ROHIT - World Cup Soccer

Score (1 - 10) – 7

Comments/Feedback – Didn’t see this presentation and couldn’t find it to watch slideshow so have given 7 as average mark.

1. Name of Presenter – TAUSEEF - Cooling and Heating Load Calculation

Score (1 – 10) – 8

Comments/Feedback – Didn’t see this presentation, but from his ppt presentation looked very clear and attractively presented.

1. Name of Presenter – SANG – Driving Distances in Golf

Score (1 - 10) – 7

Comments/Feedback – Didn’t see this presentation, and but from ppt looked clearly laid out and good definition for question. Maybe too many graphs and a bit too data technical for stake holders to understand. Could have had mor pictures. Also the dark backgound maybe could have been a brighter colour, eg green which would tie into the golf subject.